# On a Relative Computability Notion for Real Functions

Dimiter Skordev<sup>1</sup> Ivan Georgiev<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Sofia, Bulgaria

<sup>2</sup>Burgas Prof. Assen Zlatarov University, Bulgaria

#### Computability in Europe 2011

29 June 2011

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

- For any class *F* of total functions in N, we define what it means for a real function to be conditionally *F*-computable. This notion extends the notion of uniform *F*-computability of real functions introduced in the paper [SkWeGe 10].
- If F consists of recursive functions then the conditionally F-computable real functions are computable in the sense of [Gr 55] extended by allowing the used computable functionals to be partial and by considering real functions of any number of variables.
- Under certain weak assumptions about  $\mathcal{F}$ , we show that:
  - conditional  $\mathcal{F}$ -computability is preserved by substitution,
  - ► all conditionally *F*-computable real functions are locally uniformly *F*-computable,
  - the conditionally  $\mathcal{F}$ -computable real functions with compact domains are uniformly  $\mathcal{F}$ -computable.
- All elementary functions of calculus are conditionally *M*<sup>2</sup>-computable.

- For any class *F* of total functions in N, we define what it means for a real function to be conditionally *F*-computable. This notion extends the notion of uniform *F*-computability of real functions introduced in the paper [SkWeGe10].
- If *F* consists of recursive functions then the conditionally *F*-computable real functions are computable in the sense of [Gr 55] extended by allowing the used computable functionals to be partial and by considering real functions of any number of variables.
- Under certain weak assumptions about  $\mathcal{F}$ , we show that:
  - conditional  $\mathcal{F}$ -computability is preserved by substitution,
  - ► all conditionally *F*-computable real functions are locally uniformly *F*-computable,
  - the conditionally *F*-computable real functions with compact domains are uniformly *F*-computable.
- ► All elementary functions of calculus are conditionally M<sup>2</sup>-computable.

- For any class *F* of total functions in N, we define what it means for a real function to be conditionally *F*-computable. This notion extends the notion of uniform *F*-computability of real functions introduced in the paper [SkWeGe10].
- If *F* consists of recursive functions then the conditionally *F*-computable real functions are computable in the sense of [Gr 55] extended by allowing the used computable functionals to be partial and by considering real functions of any number of variables.
- $\blacktriangleright$  Under certain weak assumptions about  $\mathcal F$  , we show that:
  - conditional  $\mathcal{F}$ -computability is preserved by substitution,
  - ► all conditionally *F*-computable real functions are locally uniformly *F*-computable,
  - the conditionally  $\mathcal{F}$ -computable real functions with compact domains are uniformly  $\mathcal{F}$ -computable.
- ► All elementary functions of calculus are conditionally M<sup>2</sup>-computable.

- For any class *F* of total functions in N, we define what it means for a real function to be conditionally *F*-computable. This notion extends the notion of uniform *F*-computability of real functions introduced in the paper [SkWeGe10].
- If *F* consists of recursive functions then the conditionally *F*-computable real functions are computable in the sense of [Gr 55] extended by allowing the used computable functionals to be partial and by considering real functions of any number of variables.
- $\blacktriangleright$  Under certain weak assumptions about  $\mathcal F$  , we show that:
  - conditional  $\mathcal{F}$ -computability is preserved by substitution,
  - ▶ all conditionally *F*-computable real functions are locally uniformly *F*-computable,
  - the conditionally  $\mathcal{F}$ -computable real functions with compact domains are uniformly  $\mathcal{F}$ -computable.
- All elementary functions of calculus are conditionally
   M<sup>2</sup>-computable.

- For any class *F* of total functions in N, we define what it means for a real function to be conditionally *F*-computable. This notion extends the notion of uniform *F*-computability of real functions introduced in the paper [SkWeGe10].
- If *F* consists of recursive functions then the conditionally *F*-computable real functions are computable in the sense of [Gr 55] extended by allowing the used computable functionals to be partial and by considering real functions of any number of variables.
- $\blacktriangleright$  Under certain weak assumptions about  $\mathcal F$  , we show that:
  - conditional  $\mathcal{F}$ -computability is preserved by substitution,
  - ▶ all conditionally *F*-computable real functions are locally uniformly *F*-computable,
  - the conditionally *F*-computable real functions with compact domains are uniformly *F*-computable.

All elementary functions of calculus are conditionally
 M<sup>2</sup>-computable.

- For any class *F* of total functions in N, we define what it means for a real function to be conditionally *F*-computable. This notion extends the notion of uniform *F*-computability of real functions introduced in the paper [SkWeGe10].
- If *F* consists of recursive functions then the conditionally *F*-computable real functions are computable in the sense of [Gr 55] extended by allowing the used computable functionals to be partial and by considering real functions of any number of variables.
- $\blacktriangleright$  Under certain weak assumptions about  $\mathcal F$  , we show that:
  - conditional  $\mathcal{F}$ -computability is preserved by substitution,
  - ▶ all conditionally *F*-computable real functions are locally uniformly *F*-computable,
  - the conditionally  $\mathcal{F}$ -computable real functions with compact domains are uniformly  $\mathcal{F}$ -computable.
- All elementary functions of calculus are conditionally *M*<sup>2</sup>-computable.

Computability of Real Functions in the Extended Sense of [Gr 55]

As in [SkWeGe10], a triple (f, g, h) of total one-argument functions in  $\mathbb{N}$  will be called to name a real number  $\xi$  if

$$\left|\frac{f(t)-g(t)}{h(t)+1}-\xi\right| < \frac{1}{t+1}$$

### for all $t \in \mathbb{N}$ ( $h = \lambda t.t$ is actually used in [Gr55]).

Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\theta: D \to \mathbb{R}$ , where  $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ . The function  $\theta$  is computable in the extended sense of [Gr 55] iff there exist recursive operators F, G, H acting on 3N-tuples of one-argument functions in  $\mathbb{N}$  and such that, whenever  $(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_N) \in D$  and  $(f_1, g_1, h_1), \ldots,$  $(f_N, g_N, h_N)$  are triples naming  $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_N$ , respectively, the functions  $F(\overline{f}, \overline{g}, \overline{h}), G(\overline{f}, \overline{g}, \overline{h}), H(\overline{f}, \overline{g}, \overline{h})$ , where  $\overline{f} = f_1, \ldots, f_N$ ,  $\overline{g} = g_1, \ldots, g_N$ , and  $\overline{h} = h_1, \ldots, h_N$ , are total, and the triple of them names  $\theta(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_N)$ . Computability of Real Functions in the Extended Sense of [Gr 55]

As in [SkWeGe10], a triple (f, g, h) of total one-argument functions in  $\mathbb{N}$  will be called to name a real number  $\xi$  if

$$\left|\frac{f(t)-g(t)}{h(t)+1}-\xi\right| < \frac{1}{t+1}$$

for all  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  ( $h = \lambda t.t$  is actually used in [Gr55]).

Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\theta: D \to \mathbb{R}$ , where  $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ . The function  $\theta$  is computable in the extended sense of [Gr 55] iff there exist recursive operators F, G, H acting on 3N-tuples of one-argument functions in  $\mathbb{N}$  and such that, whenever  $(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_N) \in D$  and  $(f_1, g_1, h_1), \ldots,$  $(f_N, g_N, h_N)$  are triples naming  $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_N$ , respectively, the functions  $F(\overline{f}, \overline{g}, \overline{h}), G(\overline{f}, \overline{g}, \overline{h}), H(\overline{f}, \overline{g}, \overline{h})$ , where  $\overline{f} = f_1, \ldots, f_N$ ,  $\overline{g} = g_1, \ldots, g_N$ , and  $\overline{h} = h_1, \ldots, h_N$ , are total, and the triple of them names  $\theta(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_N)$ . Subrecursive Computability of Functions of Reals as a Certain Kind of Relative Computability

As far as we know, the first paper in this direction is [TeZi 10] (especially if its preliminary version at arxiv.org is taken into consideration). Further ones are [SkWeGe 10] and [Sk xx], where the uniform  $\mathcal{F}$ -computability is introduced and studied.

The definition of uniform  $\mathcal{F}$ -computability is similar to the characterization of computability in the extended sense of [Gr 55] on the previous slide, but uses so-called  $\mathcal{F}$ -substitutional mappings instead of recursive operators.

Subrecursive Computability of Functions of Reals as a Certain Kind of Relative Computability

As far as we know, the first paper in this direction is [TeZi 10] (especially if its preliminary version at arxiv.org is taken into consideration). Further ones are [SkWeGe 10] and [Sk xx], where the uniform  $\mathcal{F}$ -computability is introduced and studied.

The definition of uniform  $\mathcal{F}$ -computability is similar to the characterization of computability in the extended sense of [Gr 55] on the previous slide, but uses so-called  $\mathcal{F}$ -substitutional mappings instead of recursive operators.

# $\mathcal{F}$ -Substitutional Mappings

For any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we will denote by  $\mathbb{T}_m$  the set of all *m*-argument total functions in  $\mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{T}_m$ . For any  $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , certain mappings of  $\mathbb{T}_1^k$  into  $\mathbb{T}_m$  will be called  $\mathcal{F}$ -substitutional, as follows:

- 1. For any *m*-argument projection function *h* in  $\mathbb{N}$  the mapping *F* defined by  $F(f_1, \ldots, f_k) = h$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -substitutional.
- 2. For any  $i \in \{1, ..., k\}$ , if  $F_0$  is a  $\mathcal{F}$ -substitutional mapping of  $\mathbb{T}_1^k$  into  $\mathbb{T}_m$  then so is the mapping F defined by

 $F(f_1,...,f_k)(n_1,...,n_m) = f_i(F_0(f_1,...,f_k)(n_1,...,n_m)).$ 

3. For any  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $f \in \mathcal{F} \cap \mathbb{T}_r$ , if  $F_1, \ldots, F_r$  are  $\mathcal{F}$ -substitutional mappings of  $\mathbb{T}_1^k$  into  $\mathbb{T}_m$  then so is the mapping F defined by

$$F(f_1,...,f_k)(n_1,...,n_m) = f(F_1(f_1,...,f_k)(n_1,...,n_m),...,F_r(f_1,...,f_k)(n_1,...,n_m)).$$

# $\mathcal{F}$ -Substitutional Mappings

For any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we will denote by  $\mathbb{T}_m$  the set of all *m*-argument total functions in  $\mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{T}_m$ . For any  $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , certain mappings of  $\mathbb{T}_1^k$  into  $\mathbb{T}_m$  will be called  $\mathcal{F}$ -substitutional, as follows:

- 1. For any *m*-argument projection function *h* in  $\mathbb{N}$  the mapping *F* defined by  $F(f_1, \ldots, f_k) = h$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -substitutional.
- 2. For any  $i \in \{1, ..., k\}$ , if  $F_0$  is a  $\mathcal{F}$ -substitutional mapping of  $\mathbb{T}_1^k$  into  $\mathbb{T}_m$  then so is the mapping F defined by

 $F(f_1,...,f_k)(n_1,...,n_m) = f_i(F_0(f_1,...,f_k)(n_1,...,n_m)).$ 

3. For any  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $f \in \mathcal{F} \cap \mathbb{T}_r$ , if  $F_1, \ldots, F_r$  are  $\mathcal{F}$ -substitutional mappings of  $\mathbb{T}_1^k$  into  $\mathbb{T}_m$  then so is the mapping F defined by

$$F(f_1,...,f_k)(n_1,...,n_m) = f(F_1(f_1,...,f_k)(n_1,...,n_m),...,F_r(f_1,...,f_k)(n_1,...,n_m)).$$

# $\mathcal{F}$ -Substitutional Mappings

For any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we will denote by  $\mathbb{T}_m$  the set of all *m*-argument total functions in  $\mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{T}_m$ . For any  $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , certain mappings of  $\mathbb{T}_1^k$  into  $\mathbb{T}_m$  will be called  $\mathcal{F}$ -substitutional, as follows:

- 1. For any *m*-argument projection function h in  $\mathbb{N}$  the mapping F defined by  $F(f_1, \ldots, f_k) = h$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -substitutional.
- 2. For any  $i \in \{1, ..., k\}$ , if  $F_0$  is a  $\mathcal{F}$ -substitutional mapping of  $\mathbb{T}_1^k$  into  $\mathbb{T}_m$  then so is the mapping F defined by

$$F(f_1,...,f_k)(n_1,...,n_m) = f_i(F_0(f_1,...,f_k)(n_1,...,n_m)).$$

3. For any  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $f \in \mathcal{F} \cap \mathbb{T}_r$ , if  $F_1, \ldots, F_r$  are  $\mathcal{F}$ -substitutional mappings of  $\mathbb{T}_1^k$  into  $\mathbb{T}_m$  then so is the mapping F defined by

$$F(f_1,...,f_k)(n_1,...,n_m) = f(F_1(f_1,...,f_k)(n_1,...,n_m),...,F_r(f_1,...,f_k)(n_1,...,n_m)).$$

# *F***-Substitutional Mappings**

For any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we will denote by  $\mathbb{T}_m$  the set of all *m*-argument total functions in  $\mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{T}_m$ . For any  $k, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , certain mappings of  $\mathbb{T}_1^k$  into  $\mathbb{T}_m$  will be called  $\mathcal{F}$ -substitutional, as follows:

- 1. For any *m*-argument projection function *h* in  $\mathbb{N}$  the mapping *F* defined by  $F(f_1, \ldots, f_k) = h$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ -substitutional.
- 2. For any  $i \in \{1, ..., k\}$ , if  $F_0$  is a  $\mathcal{F}$ -substitutional mapping of  $\mathbb{T}_1^k$  into  $\mathbb{T}_m$  then so is the mapping F defined by

$$F(f_1,\ldots,f_k)(n_1,\ldots,n_m)=f_i(F_0(f_1,\ldots,f_k)(n_1,\ldots,n_m)).$$

3. For any  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $f \in \mathcal{F} \cap \mathbb{T}_r$ , if  $F_1, \ldots, F_r$  are  $\mathcal{F}$ -substitutional mappings of  $\mathbb{T}_1^k$  into  $\mathbb{T}_m$  then so is the mapping F defined by

$$F(f_1,...,f_k)(n_1,...,n_m) = f(F_1(f_1,...,f_k)(n_1,...,n_m),...,F_r(f_1,...,f_k)(n_1,...,n_m)).$$

# Two Statements about $\mathcal{F}$ -Substitutional Mappings

### Proposition

Let  $F : \mathbb{T}_1^k \to \mathbb{T}_m$  and  $G_1, \ldots, G_m : \mathbb{T}_1^k \to \mathbb{T}_l$  be  $\mathcal{F}$ -substitutional. Then so is the mapping  $H : \mathbb{T}_1^k \to \mathbb{T}_l$  defined by

$$H(\overline{f})(\overline{n}) = F(\overline{f})(G_1(\overline{f})(\overline{n}),\ldots,G_m(\overline{f})(\overline{n})),$$

where 
$$\overline{f} = f_1, \ldots, f_k$$
, and  $\overline{n} = n_1, \ldots, n_l$ .

### Proposition

Let  $F : \mathbb{T}_{1}^{k} \to \mathbb{T}_{m}$  and  $G_{1}, \ldots, G_{k} : \mathbb{T}_{1}^{\prime} \to \mathbb{T}_{p+1}$  be  $\mathcal{F}$ -substitutional. Then so is the mapping  $H : \mathbb{T}_{1}^{\prime} \to \mathbb{T}_{p+m}$  defined by the equality

 $H(\overline{g})(\overline{u},\overline{n}) = F(\lambda t.G_1(\overline{g})(\overline{u},t),\ldots,\lambda t.G_k(\overline{g})(\overline{u},t))(\overline{n}),$ 

where  $\overline{g} = g_1, \ldots, g_l$ ,  $\overline{u} = u_1, \ldots, u_p$ , and  $\overline{n} = n_1, \ldots, n_m$ .

#### ・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

### Two Statements about $\mathcal{F}$ -Substitutional Mappings

### Proposition

Let  $F : \mathbb{T}_1^k \to \mathbb{T}_m$  and  $G_1, \ldots, G_m : \mathbb{T}_1^k \to \mathbb{T}_l$  be  $\mathcal{F}$ -substitutional. Then so is the mapping  $H : \mathbb{T}_1^k \to \mathbb{T}_l$  defined by

$$H(\overline{f})(\overline{n}) = F(\overline{f})(G_1(\overline{f})(\overline{n}),\ldots,G_m(\overline{f})(\overline{n})),$$

where 
$$\overline{f} = f_1, \ldots, f_k$$
, and  $\overline{n} = n_1, \ldots, n_l$ .

#### Proposition

Let  $F : \mathbb{T}_1^k \to \mathbb{T}_m$  and  $G_1, \ldots, G_k : \mathbb{T}_1^l \to \mathbb{T}_{p+1}$  be  $\mathcal{F}$ -substitutional. Then so is the mapping  $H : \mathbb{T}_1^l \to \mathbb{T}_{p+m}$  defined by the equality

$$H(\overline{g})(\overline{u},\overline{n}) = F(\lambda t.G_1(\overline{g})(\overline{u},t),\ldots,\lambda t.G_k(\overline{g})(\overline{u},t))(\overline{n}),$$

where  $\overline{g} = g_1, \ldots, g_l$ ,  $\overline{u} = u_1, \ldots, u_p$ , and  $\overline{n} = n_1, \ldots, n_m$ .

#### ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○

### Conditional *F*-Computability of Real Functions

Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\theta : D \to \mathbb{R}$ , where  $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ . The function  $\theta$  will be called *conditionally*  $\mathcal{F}$ -computable if there exist  $\mathcal{F}$ -substitutional mappings  $E:\mathbb{T}_1^{3N} \to \mathbb{T}_1$  and  $F, G, H:\mathbb{T}_1^{3N} \to \mathbb{T}_2$  such that, whenever  $(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_N) \in D$  and  $(f_1, g_1, h_1), \ldots, (f_N, g_N, h_N)$  are triples from  $\mathbb{T}_1^3$  naming  $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_N$ , respectively, the following holds, where  $\overline{f} = f_1, \ldots, f_N$ ,  $\overline{g} = g_1, \ldots, g_N$ , and  $\overline{h} = h_1, \ldots, h_N$ :

- 1. There exists a natural number s such that  $E(\overline{f}, \overline{g}, \overline{h})(s) = 0$ .
- 2. For any natural number s with  $E(\overline{f}, \overline{g}, \overline{h})(s) = 0$ , the number  $\theta(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_N)$  is named by the triple

 $(\lambda t.F(\overline{f},\overline{g},\overline{h})(s,t),\lambda t.G(\overline{f},\overline{g},\overline{h})(s,t),\lambda t.H(\overline{f},\overline{g},\overline{h})(s,t)).$ 

### Conditional *F*-Computability of Real Functions

Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\theta : D \to \mathbb{R}$ , where  $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ . The function  $\theta$  will be called *conditionally*  $\mathcal{F}$ -computable if there exist  $\mathcal{F}$ -substitutional mappings  $E:\mathbb{T}_1^{3N} \to \mathbb{T}_1$  and  $F, G, H:\mathbb{T}_1^{3N} \to \mathbb{T}_2$  such that, whenever  $(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_N) \in D$  and  $(f_1, g_1, h_1), \ldots, (f_N, g_N, h_N)$  are triples from  $\mathbb{T}_1^3$  naming  $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_N$ , respectively, the following holds, where  $\overline{f} = f_1, \ldots, f_N$ ,  $\overline{g} = g_1, \ldots, g_N$ , and  $\overline{h} = h_1, \ldots, h_N$ :

- 1. There exists a natural number s such that  $E(\overline{f}, \overline{g}, \overline{h})(s) = 0$ .
- 2. For any natural number s with  $E(\overline{f}, \overline{g}, \overline{h})(s) = 0$ , the number  $\theta(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_N)$  is named by the triple

$$(\lambda t.F(\overline{f},\overline{g},\overline{h})(s,t),\lambda t.G(\overline{f},\overline{g},\overline{h})(s,t),\lambda t.H(\overline{f},\overline{g},\overline{h})(s,t)).$$

# The Uniformly $\mathcal{F}$ -Computable Real Functions are Conditionally $\mathcal{F}$ -Computable

Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let the function  $\theta : D \to \mathbb{R}$ , where  $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ , be uniformly  $\mathcal{F}$ -computable. Then there exist  $\mathcal{F}$ -substitutional mappings  $F^{\circ}, G^{\circ}, H^{\circ}:\mathbb{T}_1^{3N} \to \mathbb{T}_1$  such that, whenever  $(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_N) \in D$  and  $(f_1, g_1, h_1), \ldots, (f_N, g_N, h_N)$  are triples from  $\mathbb{T}_1^3$  naming  $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_N$ , respectively, the number  $\theta(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_N)$  is named by the triple  $(F^{\circ}(\overline{f}, \overline{g}, \overline{h}), G^{\circ}(\overline{f}, \overline{g}, \overline{h}), H^{\circ}(\overline{f}, \overline{g}, \overline{h}))$ , where  $\overline{f} = f_1, \ldots, f_N$ ,  $\overline{g} = g_1, \ldots, g_N$ , and  $\overline{h} = h_1, \ldots, h_N$ .

To show the conditional  $\mathcal{F}$ -computability of  $\theta$ , we set

$$E(\overline{f}, \overline{g}, \overline{h})(s) = s,$$
  

$$F(\overline{f}, \overline{g}, \overline{h})(s, t) = F^{\circ}(\overline{f}, \overline{g}, \overline{h})(t),$$
  

$$G(\overline{f}, \overline{g}, \overline{h})(s, t) = G^{\circ}(\overline{f}, \overline{g}, \overline{h})(t),$$
  

$$H(\overline{f}, \overline{g}, \overline{h})(s, t) = H^{\circ}(\overline{f}, \overline{g}, \overline{h})(t).$$

# The Uniformly $\mathcal{F}$ -Computable Real Functions are Conditionally $\mathcal{F}$ -Computable

Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , and let the function  $\theta : D \to \mathbb{R}$ , where  $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ , be uniformly  $\mathcal{F}$ -computable. Then there exist  $\mathcal{F}$ -substitutional mappings  $F^{\circ}, G^{\circ}, H^{\circ}:\mathbb{T}_1^{3N} \to \mathbb{T}_1$  such that, whenever  $(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_N) \in D$  and  $(f_1, g_1, h_1), \ldots, (f_N, g_N, h_N)$  are triples from  $\mathbb{T}_1^3$  naming  $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_N$ , respectively, the number  $\theta(\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_N)$  is named by the triple  $(F^{\circ}(\overline{f}, \overline{g}, \overline{h}), G^{\circ}(\overline{f}, \overline{g}, \overline{h}), H^{\circ}(\overline{f}, \overline{g}, \overline{h}))$ , where  $\overline{f} = f_1, \ldots, f_N$ ,  $\overline{g} = g_1, \ldots, g_N$ , and  $\overline{h} = h_1, \ldots, h_N$ .

To show the conditional  $\mathcal{F}$ -computability of  $\theta$ , we set

$$E(\overline{f}, \overline{g}, \overline{h})(s) = s,$$
  

$$F(\overline{f}, \overline{g}, \overline{h})(s, t) = F^{\circ}(\overline{f}, \overline{g}, \overline{h})(t),$$
  

$$G(\overline{f}, \overline{g}, \overline{h})(s, t) = G^{\circ}(\overline{f}, \overline{g}, \overline{h})(t),$$
  

$$H(\overline{f}, \overline{g}, \overline{h})(s, t) = H^{\circ}(\overline{f}, \overline{g}, \overline{h})(t).$$

The Function  $\lambda \xi.1/\xi$  is Conditionally  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -Computable

To prove this, we may set

$$\begin{split} &E(f,g,h)(s) = (2h(s)+3) \div (s+1)|f(s) - g(s)|, \\ &F(f,g,h)(s,t) = (h(u(s,t))+1) \operatorname{sg}(f(u(s,t)) \div g(u(s,t))), \\ &G(f,g,h)(s,t) = (h(u(s,t))+1) \operatorname{sg}(g(u(s,t)) \div f(u(s,t))), \\ &H(f,g,h)(s,t) = |f(u(s,t)) - g(u(s,t))| \div 1, \end{split}$$

where  $u(s, t) = s + (s+1)^2(t+1)$ .

# The Function $\lambda \xi . \exp(\xi)$ is Conditionally $\mathcal{M}^2$ -Computable

It is proved in [SkWeGe 10] that min(exp( $\xi$ ),  $\eta$ ) is a uniformly  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -computable function of  $\xi$  and  $\eta$ . Hence there exist  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -substitutional mappings  $F^\circ, G^\circ, H^\circ: \mathbb{T}_1^6 \to \mathbb{T}_1$  such that, whenever  $(f_1, g_1, h_1)$  and  $(f_2, g_2, h_2)$  are triples from  $\mathbb{T}_1^3$  naming the real numbers  $\xi$  and  $\eta$ , respectively, then min(exp( $\xi$ ),  $\eta$ ) is named by the triple

 $(F^{\circ}(f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2, h_1, h_2), G^{\circ}(f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2, h_1, h_2), H^{\circ}(f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2, h_1, h_2)).$ 

To see the conditional  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -computability of  $\lambda \xi. \exp(\xi)$ , we may set

$$\begin{split} & E(f,g,h)(s) = (f(0) + h(0) + 1) \div ((s+1)_1(h(0) + 1) + g(0)), \\ & F(f,g,h)(s,t) = F^{\circ}(f,\lambda x.s+1,g,\lambda x.0,h,\lambda x.0)(t), \\ & G(f,g,h)(s,t) = G^{\circ}(f,\lambda x.s+1,g,\lambda x.0,h,\lambda x.0)(t), \\ & H(f,g,h)(s,t) = H^{\circ}(f,\lambda x.s+1,g,\lambda x.0,h,\lambda x.0)(t), \end{split}$$

where  $(s+1)_1$  is the exponent of the prime number 3 in s+1.

# The Function $\lambda \xi . \exp(\xi)$ is Conditionally $\mathcal{M}^2$ -Computable

It is proved in [SkWeGe 10] that min(exp( $\xi$ ),  $\eta$ ) is a uniformly  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -computable function of  $\xi$  and  $\eta$ . Hence there exist  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -substitutional mappings  $F^\circ, G^\circ, H^\circ: \mathbb{T}_1^6 \to \mathbb{T}_1$  such that, whenever  $(f_1, g_1, h_1)$  and  $(f_2, g_2, h_2)$  are triples from  $\mathbb{T}_1^3$  naming the real numbers  $\xi$  and  $\eta$ , respectively, then min(exp( $\xi$ ),  $\eta$ ) is named by the triple

$$(F^{\circ}(f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2, h_1, h_2), G^{\circ}(f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2, h_1, h_2), H^{\circ}(f_1, f_2, g_1, g_2, h_1, h_2))$$

To see the conditional  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -computability of  $\lambda\xi.\exp(\xi)$ , we may set

$$\begin{split} &E(f,g,h)(s) = (f(0) + h(0) + 1) \div ((s+1)_1(h(0) + 1) + g(0)), \\ &F(f,g,h)(s,t) = F^\circ(f,\lambda x.s+1,g,\lambda x.0,h,\lambda x.0)(t), \\ &G(f,g,h)(s,t) = G^\circ(f,\lambda x.s+1,g,\lambda x.0,h,\lambda x.0)(t), \\ &H(f,g,h)(s,t) = H^\circ(f,\lambda x.s+1,g,\lambda x.0,h,\lambda x.0)(t), \end{split}$$

where  $(s+1)_1$  is the exponent of the prime number 3 in s+1.

The Partial Recursive Functions in  $\mathbb{N}$  Regarded as Functions in  $\mathbb{R}$  are Conditionally  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -Computable Let  $\theta$  be an *N*-argument partial recursive function. Then  $\theta$  has a representation of the form

$$\theta(x_1,\ldots,x_N) = U(\mu y [T(x_1,\ldots,x_N,y)=0]),$$

where  $T, U \in \mathcal{M}^2$ . To show the conditional  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -computability of  $\theta$ , we may set

$$E(\overline{f}, \overline{g}, \overline{h})(s) = T(x_1, \dots, x_N, s) + \max_{y < s} \overline{sg} T(x_1, \dots, x_N, y),$$
  

$$F(\overline{f}, \overline{g}, \overline{h})(s, t) = U(s),$$
  

$$G(\overline{f}, \overline{g}, \overline{h})(s, t) = 0,$$
  

$$H(\overline{f}, \overline{g}, \overline{h})(s, t) = 0,$$

where  $\overline{f} = f_1, \ldots, f_N$  ,  $\overline{g} = g_1, \ldots, g_N$  ,  $\overline{h} = h_1, \ldots, h_N$  , and

$$x_{i} = \left\lfloor \frac{f_{i}(1) \div g_{i}(1)}{h_{i}(1) + 1} + \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor, \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

The Partial Recursive Functions in  $\mathbb{N}$  Regarded as Functions in  $\mathbb{R}$  are Conditionally  $\mathcal{M}^2$ –Computable

Let  $\theta$  be an *N*-argument partial recursive function. Then  $\theta$  has a representation of the form

$$\theta(x_1,\ldots,x_N) = U(\mu y[T(x_1,\ldots,x_N,y)=0]),$$

where  $T, U \in \mathcal{M}^2$ . To show the conditional  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -computability of  $\theta$ , we may set

$$E(\overline{f}, \overline{g}, \overline{h})(s) = T(x_1, \dots, x_N, s) + \max_{y < s} \overline{sg} T(x_1, \dots, x_N, y),$$

$$F(\overline{f}, \overline{g}, \overline{h})(s, t) = U(s),$$

$$G(\overline{f}, \overline{g}, \overline{h})(s, t) = 0,$$

$$H(\overline{f}, \overline{g}, \overline{h})(s, t) = 0,$$
where  $\overline{f} = f_1, \dots, f_N$ ,  $\overline{g} = g_1, \dots, g_N$ ,  $\overline{h} = h_1, \dots, h_N$ , and

$$x_{i} = \left\lfloor \frac{f_{i}(1) \div g_{i}(1)}{h_{i}(1) + 1} + \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor, \quad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

# Substitution in Conditionally $\mathcal{F}$ -Computable Real Functions

### Theorem

Let the class  $\mathcal{F}$  contain the addition function and one-argument functions L and R such that  $\{(L(s), R(s)) | s \in \mathbb{N}\} = \mathbb{N}^2$ . Then the substitution operation on real functions preserves conditional  $\mathcal{F}$ -computability.

As an application, we will show that the function  $\theta(\xi) = \ln \xi$  is conditionally  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -computable. Let us consider the function  $\theta^\circ$ having domain  $\{(\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | \xi_1 > 0, \xi_1 \xi_2 \ge 1\}$  and defined by  $\theta^\circ(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \ln \xi_1$ . This function is uniformly  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -computable by [SkWeGe10], hence it is conditionally  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -computable. On the other hand,  $\theta(\xi) = \theta^\circ(\xi, 1/\xi)$  for all  $\xi \in \text{dom}(\theta)$ .

Since the arctan, arcsin, arccos, sine and cosine functions are shown in [SkWeGe10] to be uniformly  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -computable, and so are the sum, difference and product functions, as well as the functions  $\sqrt[n]{\xi}$ ,  $n = 2, 3, \ldots$ , we may conclude that all elementary functions of calculus are conditionally  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -computable.

# Substitution in Conditionally $\mathcal{F}$ -Computable Real Functions

### Theorem

Let the class  $\mathcal{F}$  contain the addition function and one-argument functions L and R such that  $\{(L(s), R(s)) | s \in \mathbb{N}\} = \mathbb{N}^2$ . Then the substitution operation on real functions preserves conditional  $\mathcal{F}$ -computability.

As an application, we will show that the function  $\theta(\xi) = \ln \xi$  is conditionally  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -computable. Let us consider the function  $\theta^\circ$  having domain  $\{(\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | \xi_1 > 0, \xi_1 \xi_2 \ge 1\}$  and defined by  $\theta^\circ(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \ln \xi_1$ . This function is uniformly  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -computable by [SkWeGe10], hence it is conditionally  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -computable. On the other hand,  $\theta(\xi) = \theta^\circ(\xi, 1/\xi)$  for all  $\xi \in \text{dom}(\theta)$ .

Since the arctan, arcsin, arccos, sine and cosine functions are shown in [SkWeGe10] to be uniformly  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -computable, and so are the sum, difference and product functions, as well as the functions  $\sqrt[n]{\xi}$ , n = 2, 3, ..., we may conclude that all elementary functions of calculus are conditionally  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -computable.

# Substitution in Conditionally $\mathcal{F}$ -Computable Real Functions

### Theorem

Let the class  $\mathcal{F}$  contain the addition function and one-argument functions L and R such that  $\{(L(s), R(s)) | s \in \mathbb{N}\} = \mathbb{N}^2$ . Then the substitution operation on real functions preserves conditional  $\mathcal{F}$ -computability.

As an application, we will show that the function  $\theta(\xi) = \ln \xi$  is conditionally  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -computable. Let us consider the function  $\theta^\circ$  having domain  $\{(\xi_1, \xi_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | \xi_1 > 0, \xi_1 \xi_2 \ge 1\}$  and defined by  $\theta^\circ(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \ln \xi_1$ . This function is uniformly  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -computable by [SkWeGe10], hence it is conditionally  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -computable. On the other hand,  $\theta(\xi) = \theta^\circ(\xi, 1/\xi)$  for all  $\xi \in \text{dom}(\theta)$ .

Since the arctan, arcsin, arccos, sine and cosine functions are shown in [SkWeGe10] to be uniformly  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -computable, and so are the sum, difference and product functions, as well as the functions  $\sqrt[n]{\xi}$ ,  $n = 2, 3, \ldots$ , we may conclude that all elementary functions of calculus are conditionally  $\mathcal{M}^2$ -computable.

# Local uniform $\mathcal{F}$ -computability of the conditionally $\mathcal{F}$ -computable functions

Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\theta : D \to \mathbb{R}$ , where  $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ . The function  $\theta$  will be called *locally uniformly*  $\mathcal{F}$ -computable if any point of D has some neighbourhood U such that the restriction of  $\theta$  to  $D \cap U$  is uniformly  $\mathcal{F}$ -computable.

### Theorem

Let for any  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$  the class  $\mathcal{F}$  contain the two-argument function whose value at (x, y) is b or y depending on whether or not x = a. Let also all one-argument constant functions in  $\mathbb{N}$  belong to  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then all conditionally  $\mathcal{F}$ -computable real functions are locally uniformly  $\mathcal{F}$ -computable.

This theorem and a characterization theorem from [Skxx] imply that, under the assumptions about  $\mathcal{F}$  in them, if  $\theta$  is a conditionally  $\mathcal{F}$ -computable function then each point of dom $(\theta)$  has some neighbourhood U such that  $\theta$  is uniformly continuous in dom $(\theta) \cap U$ .

# Local uniform $\mathcal{F}$ -computability of the conditionally $\mathcal{F}$ -computable functions

Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\theta : D \to \mathbb{R}$ , where  $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ . The function  $\theta$  will be called *locally uniformly*  $\mathcal{F}$ -computable if any point of D has some neighbourhood U such that the restriction of  $\theta$  to  $D \cap U$  is uniformly  $\mathcal{F}$ -computable.

### Theorem

Let for any  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$  the class  $\mathcal{F}$  contain the two-argument function whose value at (x, y) is b or y depending on whether or not x = a. Let also all one-argument constant functions in  $\mathbb{N}$  belong to  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then all conditionally  $\mathcal{F}$ -computable real functions are locally uniformly  $\mathcal{F}$ -computable.

This theorem and a characterization theorem from [Skxx] imply that, under the assumptions about  $\mathcal{F}$  in them, if  $\theta$  is a conditionally  $\mathcal{F}$ -computable function then each point of dom $(\theta)$  has some neighbourhood U such that  $\theta$  is uniformly continuous in dom $(\theta) \cap U$ .

# Local uniform $\mathcal{F}$ -computability of the conditionally $\mathcal{F}$ -computable functions

Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\theta : D \to \mathbb{R}$ , where  $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ . The function  $\theta$  will be called *locally uniformly*  $\mathcal{F}$ -computable if any point of D has some neighbourhood U such that the restriction of  $\theta$  to  $D \cap U$  is uniformly  $\mathcal{F}$ -computable.

### Theorem

Let for any  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$  the class  $\mathcal{F}$  contain the two-argument function whose value at (x, y) is b or y depending on whether or not x = a. Let also all one-argument constant functions in  $\mathbb{N}$  belong to  $\mathcal{F}$ . Then all conditionally  $\mathcal{F}$ -computable real functions are locally uniformly  $\mathcal{F}$ -computable.

This theorem and a characterization theorem from  $[Sk \times x]$  imply that, under the assumptions about  $\mathcal{F}$  in them, if  $\theta$  is a conditionally  $\mathcal{F}$ -computable function then each point of dom $(\theta)$  has some neighbourhood U such that  $\theta$  is uniformly continuous in dom $(\theta) \cap U$ . Some Computable Real Functions which are not Conditionally  $\mathcal{F}$ -Computable, whatever be the Class  $\mathcal{F}$ 

Let 
$$\theta : \mathbb{R} \setminus \{1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \ldots\} \to \mathbb{R}$$
 be defined by

$$\theta(\xi) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \sigma\left(\xi - \frac{1}{k}\right) \,,$$

where  $\sigma$  is the restriction of the sign function to  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ . The function  $\theta$  is computable in the extended sense of [Gr 55], but there exists no neibourhood U of 0 such that  $\theta$  is uniformly continuous in dom $(\theta) \cap U$ . By the statement in the last paragraph of the previous slide,  $\theta$  is not conditionally  $\mathcal{F}$ -computable for  $\mathcal{F} = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{T}_m$ , therefore it is not conditionally  $\mathcal{F}$ -computable, whatever be the class  $\mathcal{F}$  of total functions in  $\mathbb{N}$ .

Another similar function is the one obtained from the elementary function  $\xi \arctan\left(\tan \frac{1}{\xi}\right)$  by extending it as 0 for  $\xi = 0$ .

Some Computable Real Functions which are not Conditionally  $\mathcal{F}$ -Computable, whatever be the Class  $\mathcal{F}$ 

Let 
$$\theta : \mathbb{R} \setminus \{1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \ldots\} \to \mathbb{R}$$
 be defined by

$$\theta(\xi) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \sigma\left(\xi - \frac{1}{k}\right) \,,$$

where  $\sigma$  is the restriction of the sign function to  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ . The function  $\theta$  is computable in the extended sense of [Gr 55], but there exists no neibourhood U of 0 such that  $\theta$  is uniformly continuous in dom $(\theta) \cap U$ . By the statement in the last paragraph of the previous slide,  $\theta$  is not conditionally  $\mathcal{F}$ -computable for  $\mathcal{F} = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{T}_m$ , therefore it is not conditionally  $\mathcal{F}$ -computable, whatever be the class  $\mathcal{F}$  of total functions in  $\mathbb{N}$ .

Another similar function is the one obtained from the elementary function  $\xi \arctan\left(\tan\frac{1}{\xi}\right)$  by extending it as 0 for  $\xi = 0$ .

Uniform  $\mathcal{F}$ -computability of the locally uniformly  $\mathcal{F}$ -computable functions with compact domains

### Theorem

Let the class  $\mathcal{F}$  be closed under substitution, and let  $\mathcal{F}$  contain the projection functions, the successor function, the addition function, the function  $\lambda xy.x \div y$  and the function  $\lambda xy.x(1 \div y)$ . Then all locally uniformly  $\mathcal{F}$ -computable real functions with compact domains are uniformly  $\mathcal{F}$ -computable.

### Corollary

Under the assumptions of the above theorem, all conditionally  $\mathcal{F}$ -computable real functions with compact domains are uniformly  $\mathcal{F}$ -computable.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Uniform  $\mathcal{F}$ -computability of the locally uniformly  $\mathcal{F}$ -computable functions with compact domains

### Theorem

Let the class  $\mathcal{F}$  be closed under substitution, and let  $\mathcal{F}$  contain the projection functions, the successor function, the addition function, the function  $\lambda xy.x \div y$  and the function  $\lambda xy.x(1 \div y)$ . Then all locally uniformly  $\mathcal{F}$ -computable real functions with compact domains are uniformly  $\mathcal{F}$ -computable.

### Corollary

Under the assumptions of the above theorem, all conditionally  $\mathcal{F}$ -computable real functions with compact domains are uniformly  $\mathcal{F}$ -computable.

# Some Comments

The conditional  $\mathcal{F}$ -computability of real functions has some similarity in its spirit with the notion of a real function in  $\mathcal{F}$  introduced in [TeZi 10] (under some restrictions on the class  $\mathcal{F}$ ) for functions whose domains are open sets. However, there are many essential differences between the two notions. For instance, if  $\mathcal{F}$  is the class of the lower elementary functions then the class of the real functions in  $\mathcal{F}$  is not closed under substitution, it is not true that it contains all elementary functions of calculus, and there are real functions in  $\mathcal{F}$  which are not computable in the extended sense of [Gr 55].

### References

- [Gr 55] Grzegorczyk, A.: Computable functionals. Fund. Math., 42, 168–202 (1955)
- [SkWeGe 10] Skordev, D., Weiermann, A., Georgiev, I.: *M*<sup>2</sup>-computable real numbers. J. Logic Comput. (Advance Access published September 21, 2010), doi:10.1093/logcom/exq050
- [Sk xx] Skordev, D.: Uniform computability of real functions.
   In: Collection of Summaries of Talks Delivered at the Scientific Session on the Occasion of the 120th Anniversary of FMI (Sofia, October 24, 2009) (to appear)
- [TeZi 10] Tent, K., Ziegler, M.: Computable functions of reals. Münster J. Math., 3, 43–66 (2010)
   (A preliminary version appeared at arxive.org in March 2009 under the title "Low functions of reals")