

# The Complexity of Countable Abelian P-Groups

Steve VanDenDriessche

University of Notre Dame, Mathematics Department,  
283 Hurley Hall, Notre Dame, Indiana 46556-4618, United States

In descriptive set theory, there is a body of work comparing the difficulty of determining whether certain equivalence relations hold for various classes of structures. The Borel embedding has been a successful formalization for comparing isomorphism for graphs, linear orders, Abelian torsion groups, fields, etc. [FS1989]. Other interesting equivalence relations, such as Turing equivalence and bi-embeddability have also been studied with this notion [Ke1999,Th2010]. In computable structure theory, we would like to determine which classes of structures have *effective* reductions. This notion was studied as the *Turing computable embedding* by Calvert, et al. [CCKM2004,KMV2007]. Quinn characterized which classes Turing computably embed (with respect to isomorphism) in the class of Abelian  $p$ -groups of some bounded length, denoted  $AB_\alpha^p$ , where  $\alpha$  is the bound. The Pull-back Theorem implies that, for a class  $K$  to Turing computably embed in  $AB_\alpha^p$ , the structures in  $K$  must be distinguished by  $\Sigma_{f(\alpha)}^c$  sentences, for some  $f$ , varying with  $\alpha$  [Qu2008]. Motivated by the importance of the  $\Sigma_\alpha^c$  sentences in these embeddings, we define  $\mathcal{A} \sim_\alpha \mathcal{B}$  if and only if  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  model the same  $\Sigma_\alpha^c$  formulas, and show that proofs of Quinn yield the fact that classes of countable reduced Abelian p-groups of various fixed lengths lie on top relative to our embeddings.

**Keywords:** computable structure theory, Abelian p-groups, Turing computable embeddings

## References

- [CCKM2004] W. Calvert, D. Cummins, J.F. Knight, and S. Miller, “Comparing Classes of Finite Structures,” *Algebra and Logic*, 43(2004), pp. 365-373.
- [FS1989] Harvey Friedman and Lee Stanley, “A Borel Reducibility Theory for Classes of Countable Structures,” *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 54(1989), pp. 894-914.
- [Ke1999] Alexander S. Kechris, “New Directions in Descriptive Set Theory,” *Bulletin of Symbolic Logic*, 5(1999), pp.161-174.
- [KMV2007] Julia F. Knight, Sara Miller, and M. Vanden Boom, “Turing Computable Embeddings,” *Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 72(2007), pp. 901-918.
- [Qu2008] Sara B. Quinn, “Algorithmic Complexity of Algebraic Structures,” Doctoral Dissertation at University of Notre Dame, 2008.
- [Th2010] Simon Thomas, “Martin’s Conjecture and Strong Ergodicity,” preprint (to appear in the *Bulletin of Symbolic Logic*), 2010.